

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/810,792 | 03/16/2001 | Nathan G. Clark | 990471 U2 USA | 9172 |
| 7: | 590 06/03/2003 | | • | |
| John F. Booth CRUTSINGER & BOOTH Suite 1950, Thanksgiving Tower | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | NELSON, PETER A | |
| 1601 Elm Street Dallas, TX 75201 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| •, | | | 3641 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/810.792 | CLARK ET AL. |
| | Examiner | ArtUnit |
| | Peter A Nelson | 3641 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a | | (4) (2) (2) (2) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4 |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to | THIS APPLICATION IN CO | ONDITIONIFOR ALLOWANCE. |
| final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap | (1) a timely filed amendn | nent which places the application in |
| Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 | pear (with appear ree), or (| |
| PERIOD FOR | REPLY [check either a) or | b)1 |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late | r,than SIX,MONTHS from the mai | ling date of the final rejection. |
| ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W | AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH | SOF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex | date on which the petition under | 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee |
| 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorte | ned statutory period for reply origin | nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |
| (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | months after the mailing date of the | ie in arrejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appella | nust be filed wit | hin the beriod set forth in |
| 37 CFR 1.192(a), of any extension thereof (37 | CFR 1성91(d)), to avoid di | smissal of the appeal. |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered | because: | |
| (a) 🛭 they raise new issues that would require fu | nher consideration and/or | search (see NOTE below); |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No | (数)(数数) (数数) (数数)(数数)(数数)(数数) | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application | prin better form for appea | by materially reducing or simplifying the |
| issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without can | coling a corresponding nu | The state of the s |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | cening a corresponding num | niber of many rejected claims. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re | iection(s) | 化 |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s)wo | 7/4/5/5/38/4 20 W.S | 自己的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的一种的 |
| canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reques | for reconsideration has be | een considered but does NOT place the |
| application in condition for allowance because | 14 (18) [18] | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | Decause it is not directed s | SOLELY tolissues which were newly |
| 7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendm | ি কৈ বি ent(s)(a) ি will not be ente | 다 마실다에다 ered or/b)[교 will be entered and an |
| explanation of how the new or amended claims | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follo | VS: | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | |
| Claim(s) objected to | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | A CONTROL OF THE CONT |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on | 1. 6. 14. | 4 () 4 () 4 () 5 () |
| 9. Note the attached information Disclosure State | ment(s)(PTO-1449) Pape | (No(s) |
| 10. ☐ Other: | | |
| | | Tetaler |
| | Market State of the State of th | Peter & Nelson Drimary Examiner |
| <u> </u> | MANGET OF STREET | Art Unit: 3641 |

2. Table 1. Table 1.

BEST AVAILABLE (

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The reference to the liner was previously deleated from the claims. Additionally, it is noted that an affadavit is presented stating that Mr. Reidel is the inventor of the previous joint patent. Although this alone does not obviate the rejections threron, it is incumbent upon the assignee to correct the inventorship of the prior patent to reflect this new sole inventorship situation.